

NOV 23 2009

Amendment  
Serial No. 10/562, 276

GB030102US1

**IN THE CLAIMS****Kindly replace the claims of record with the following full set of claims:**

1. (Withdrawn - Currently amended) A method of determining ~~[[the]]~~ pixel drive signals to be applied to ~~[[the]]~~ pixels of an array of light emitting display elements (2) arranged in rows and columns, with a plurality of ~~[[the]]~~ pixels in a row being supplied with drive current simultaneously along a ~~respective row~~ conductor associated with each of said rows (26), the method comprising:

determining target pixel drive currents corresponding to desired pixel brightness levels based on a model of ~~[[the]]~~ pixel current-brightness characteristics;

modifying the target pixel drive currents to take account of:

~~[[the]]~~ a voltage on a corresponding ~~the respective row conductor~~ (26) at each pixel within a row resulting from the drive currents drawn ~~from the row conductor~~ by the plurality of pixels~~[[;]]~~ and ~~[[the]]~~ a dependency of the pixel brightness characteristics on the voltage on ~~[[ the]]~~ a corresponding row conductor at the pixel; and

determining the pixel drive signals from the modified target pixel drive currents.

2. (Withdrawn - Currently amended) The ~~[[A]]~~ method as claimed in claim 1, wherein each pixel is programmed in a first phase and driven in a second phase, and wherein the step of modifying the target pixel drive currents further takes account of any differences in ~~[[the]]~~ a drive current drawn by the pixels between the first and second phases.

3. (Withdrawn - Currently amended) The ~~[[A]]~~ method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the step of modifying the target pixel drive currents comprises:

applying an algorithm to the target pixel drive currents which represents the relationship between the currents drawn by the pixels in a row and the voltages on the row conductor at the locations of the pixels; and scaling the

November 2009

Amendment  
Serial No. 10/562, 276

GB030102US1

resulting values of said algorithm using a value representing the dependency of the pixel brightness characteristics on the voltage on the row conductor.

4. (Withdrawn - Currently amended) The [[A]] method as claimed in claim 3, wherein applying an algorithm comprises multiplying a vector of the target pixel drive currents for a row of pixels by the inversion of the matrix **M**, in which:

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ & & & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix},$$

and wherein [[the]] a number of rows and columns of matrix **M** is equal to the number of pixels in [[the]] a corresponding row.

5. (Withdrawn - Currently amended) The [[A]] method as claimed in claim 3, wherein each pixel comprises:

a current source circuit (22,24) which converts an input voltage to a current using a drive transistor (22), and

wherein the scaling comprises using a value including terms derived from:

[[the]] a voltage-current characteristics of the drive transistor (22);  
and [[the]] a voltage-current characteristics of the light emitting display element (2).

6. (Withdrawn - Currently amended) The [[A]] method as claimed in claim 5, wherein the scaling comprises using a value further including a term derived from [[the]] a resistance (R) of the row conductor.

7. (Withdrawn - Currently amended) The [[A]] method as claimed in claim 6, wherein the scaling comprises using a value  $(1-\alpha)R\lambda/(1+\lambda/\mu)$ , where

R is the resistance of the row conductor between adjacent pixels;

November 2009

Amendment  
Serial No. 10/562, 276

GB030102US1

$\lambda$  is ~~[[the]]~~ a slope of the drain-source current vs. a drain-source voltage curve of the drive transistor;

$\mu$  is ~~[[the]]~~ a slope of the current vs. voltage curve of ~~[[the]]~~ a display element; and

$\alpha$  is ~~[[the]]~~ a ratio of the current drawn by a pixel during a pixel programming phase to the current drawn by the pixel during a display.

8.( Withdrawn - Currently amended) The ~~[[A]]~~ method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the value

$(1-\alpha)R\lambda/(1+\lambda/\mu)$  used for scaling uses the slope of the drain-source current vs. drain-source voltage curve of the drive transistor and the slope of the current vs. voltage curve of the display element at the value of the first pixel drive current.

9.( Withdrawn - Currently amended) The ~~[[A]]~~ method as claimed in claim 4, wherein the result of multiplying a vector of the target pixel drive currents for a row of pixels by the inversion of the matrix **M** is obtained by a recursive operation

$$F(n) = F(n-1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} I(j) + F(0),$$

in which:

$F(n)$  is ~~[[the]]~~ a nth term of a the vector result of multiplying the vector of the target pixel drive currents for a row of pixels by the inversion of the matrix **M**,  $F(0)$  being the first term; and

$I(j)$  is the target current for the jth pixel in a row, the first pixel being  $j=0$ .

10.( Withdrawn - Currently amended) The ~~[[A]]~~ method as claimed in claim 9, wherein: